

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/398,842	09/17/1999	TERRILL A. YOUNG	6900R	1564	
27741	7590 01/14/2002				
THE PROCTER & GAMBLE COMPANY PATENT DIVISION SHARON WOODS TECHNICAL CENTER- BOX C18			EXAMINER		
			WEBB, JAMISUE A		
	OOMS ROAD ATI, OH 45242			PAPER NUMBER	
	,		3761	 	
			DATE MAILED: 01/14/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

2			Dov		
S.	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	09/398,842	YOUNG ET AL.			
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Jamisue A. Webb	3761			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED 19 December 2001 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.					
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:					
(a) X they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);			
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note I	below);				
(c) they are not deemed to place the application i issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mate	erially reducing or si	mplifying the		
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ling a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claim	IS.		
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):				
4 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	d be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed	amendment		
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:		idered but does NO	T place the		
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which wer	e newly		
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w			and an		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		gel L	1		
Claim(s) allowed:	•	// /- L			
Claim(s) objected to:					

10. Other: ____

Claim(s) rejected: 1-20.

Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: _____.

8. The proposed drawing correction filed on ____ is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.

9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). _____.

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

the Shultx reference.

Continuation Sneet (PTO-303)

Continuation of 2. NOTE: Claims 19 and 20 were amended to include the limitation "Metallocene propylene spunbond fibers" which has not been considered before with claims 19 and 20. Furthermore the applicant's arguments stating that Shultz does not disclose metallocene polypropylen to be used without a meltblown layer, therefore it is not obvious to use the shultz reference for the metallocene polypropylene are not persuasive. Shultx discloses that metallocene polymers, such as polypropylene, can be used for either meltblowing

or spunbonding. Therefore the examiner believes Shultz does provide the motivation to use the metallocene polypropylene mentioned in